



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,209	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	MPA17US	1357	
24011	7590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER		
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			UHLENHAKE, JASON S		
393 DARLIN BALMAIN,	G STREET NSW 2041		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTRALIA			2853	-	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/760,209	SILVERBROOK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason Uhlenhake	2853	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jason Uhlenhake	2853					
TI MANUAL DATE of this account of		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, at otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ice with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 706.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. FILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lating reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon er than three months after the mailing do)).	of the fee. The approprion of the final Off the final Off the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further c		TE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or	,						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	a corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. Other:	K.	FEGGINS RY EXAMINER	,				
1/2 V 7-19-06							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Silverbrook ('843) discloses the claimed limitations as cited in claim one; "at least one printhead module (54) comprising at least two separate printhead integrated circuits (112)" (see Figures 11 and 12). The printhead module as disclosed in the claim does not define any particular features/limitations of the printhead module, therefore Silverbrook ('843) discloses the claim as cited.